



Ramsar Information Sheet

Published on 9 March 2018

Update version, previously published on : 1 January 2011

Norway Reisautløpet



Designation date	12 November 2010
Site number	1958
Coordinates	69°47'23"N 20°59'48"E
Area	600,00 ha

Color codes

Fields back-shaded in light blue relate to data and information required only for RIS updates.

Note that some fields concerning aspects of Part 3, the Ecological Character Description of the RIS (tinted in purple), are not expected to be completed as part of a standard RIS, but are included for completeness so as to provide the requested consistency between the RIS and the format of a 'full' Ecological Character Description, as adopted in Resolution X.15 (2008). If a Contracting Party does have information available that is relevant to these fields (for example from a national format Ecological Character Description) it may, if it wishes to, include information in these additional fields.

1 - Summary

Summary

This site is a large, intact Reisaelva river delta ecosystem, which together with its flora and fauna, is representative for this region. The seashore meadows have a great species richness, and both northern and southern plant species coexist.

This is a classic delta with active eroding/depositing processes. The reserve is a very important spring and autumn staging area for many ducks, waders and seabirds. The watercourse hosts important populations of anadromous fish.

The Site is an important recreational area for the local community, used for activities such as hiking and fishing.

2 - Data & location

2.1 - Formal data

2.1.1 - Name and address of the compiler of this RIS

Compiler 1

Name	Ellen Haakonsen Karr
Institution/agency	Norwegian Environment Agency
Postal address	Box 5672 Torgarden, N-7485 Trondheim, Norway
E-mail	post@miljodir.no
Phone	+47 73 58 05 00

2.1.2 - Period of collection of data and information used to compile the RIS

From year	1982
To year	2017

2.1.3 - Name of the Ramsar Site

Official name (in English, French or Spanish)	Reisaؤلøpet
-----------------------------------------------	-------------

2.1.4 - Changes to the boundaries and area of the Site since its designation or earlier update

(Update) A. Changes to Site boundary Yes No

(Update) B. Changes to Site area No change to area

2.1.5 - Changes to the ecological character of the Site

(Update) 6b i. Has the ecological character of the Ramsar Site (including applicable Criteria) changed since the previous RIS? Not evaluated

2.2 - Site location

2.2.1 - Defining the Site boundaries

b) Digital map/image

<1 file(s) uploaded>

Former maps	0
-------------	---

Boundaries description

The boundary is the same as for the existing Reisaؤلøpet Nature Reserve.

2.2.2 - General location

a) In which large administrative region does the site lie?	Troms County
------------------------------------------------------------	--------------

b) What is the nearest town or population centre?	Storslett
---------------------------------------------------	-----------

2.2.3 - For wetlands on national boundaries only

a) Does the wetland extend onto the territory of one or more other countries? Yes No

b) Is the site adjacent to another designated Ramsar Site on the territory of another Contracting Party? Yes No

2.2.4 - Area of the Site

Official area, in hectares (ha):	600
----------------------------------	-----

Area, in hectares (ha) as calculated from
GIS boundaries 600.64

2.2.5 - Biogeography

Biogeographic regions

Regionalisation scheme(s)	Biogeographic region
Other scheme (provide name below)	1. Middle boreal zone, transition section (Mb-OC)
EU biogeographic regionalization	2. Arctic

Other biogeographic regionalisation scheme

1. Zonal division showing the variation in vegetation from south to north and from the lowlands to the mountains, and sectional graduation showing the variation between the coast and inland (In: Moen, A. 1998. Nasjonalatlas for Norge; vegetasjon. Statens kartverk, Hønefoss).
2. Biogeographical Regions, European Environment Agency, 2005

3 - Why is the Site important?

3.1 - Ramsar Criteria and their justification

- Criterion 1: Representative, rare or unique natural or near-natural wetland types

Other ecosystem services provided: Popular recreational area for the local community, and is used for outdoor activities such as bird watching, hiking and fishing.

Other reasons: This site is representative for this region as a large and intact river delta formed by the river Reisaelva, as well as its appurtenant flora and fauna. The seashore meadows has a great species richness, and both northern and southern plant species coexist. The reserve is a very important staging area for many water birds species in the spring and autumn, such as ducks, wading birds and sea birds. The watercourse hosts important populations of anadromous fish.

- Criterion 2 : Rare species and threatened ecological communities

- Criterion 3 : Biological diversity

Justification: This delta supports populations of both southern and northern plant geographic elements and is important for maintaining the biological diversity in the region. A high number of bird species visit this area.

- Criterion 4 : Support during critical life cycle stage or in adverse conditions

- Criterion 8 : Fish spawning grounds, etc.































Justification: Important river stocks of Atlantic Salmon *Salmo salar*, anadromous Arctic Char *Salvelinus alpinus* and Brown Trout *Salmo trutta* migrate through the site.

3.2 - Plant species whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

Scientific name	Common name	Criterion 2	Criterion 3	Criterion 4	IUCN Red List	CITES Appendix I	Other status	Justification
<i>Argentina anserina</i> <i>egedei</i>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		
<i>Cochlearia officinalis</i>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>		
<i>Primula finmarchica</i>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	National red list status: VU	Southern limit for this species.
<i>Stellaria humifusa</i>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	LC	<input type="checkbox"/>		Southern limit for this species

3.3 - Animal species whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

Phylum	Scientific name	Common name	Species qualifies under criterion				Species contributes under criterion				Pop. Size	Period of pop. Est.	% occurrence ¹⁾	IUCN Red List	CITES Appendix I	CMS Appendix I	Other Status	Justification
			2	4	6	9	3	5	7	8								
Birds																		
CHORDATA/ AVES	<i>Alca torda</i>	Razorbill	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				NT	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	National red list status: EN	Criterion 4: important staging area for this species.

Phylum	Scientific name	Common name	Species qualifies under criterion				Species contributes under criterion				Pop. Size	Period of pop. Est.	% occurrence 1)	IUCN Red List	GITES Appendix I	CMS Appendix I	Other Status	Justification
			2	4	6	9	3	5	7	8								
CHORDATA/AVES	<i>Anas acuta</i> 	Northern Pintail	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				LC 	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	National red list status: VU	Criterion 3 & 4: The site is nationally worthy of preservation as staging area for this species.
CHORDATA/AVES	<i>Anas clypeata</i> 	Northern Shoveler	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				LC 	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	National red list status: VU	Criterion 4: Important staging area for this species.
CHORDATA/AVES	<i>Cephus grylle</i> 	Black Guillemot	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				LC 	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	National red list status: VU	Criterion 4: important staging site for this species.
CHORDATA/AVES	<i>Gavia arctica</i> 	Arctic Loon; Black-throated Loon	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				LC 	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Criterion 3 & 4: The site is nationally worthy of preservation as staging area for this species.
CHORDATA/AVES	<i>Haematopus ostralegus</i> 	Eurasian Oystercatcher	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				NT 	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Criterion 3 & 4: The site is nationally worthy of preservation as staging area for this species.
CHORDATA/AVES	<i>Melanitta fusca</i> 	Velvet Scoter; White-winged Scoter	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				VU 	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	National red list status: VU	Criterion 3 & 4: The site is nationally worthy of preservation as staging area for this species.
CHORDATA/AVES	<i>Mergus merganser</i> 	Common Merganser	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				LC 	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Criterion 3 & 4: The site is nationally worthy of preservation as staging area for this species.
CHORDATA/AVES	<i>Mergus serrator</i> 	Red-breasted Merganser	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				LC 	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Criterion 3 & 4: The site is nationally worthy of preservation as staging area for this species.
CHORDATA/AVES	<i>Numenius arquata</i> 	Eurasian Curlew	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				NT 	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	National red list status: VU	Criterion 3 & 4: The site is nationally worthy of preservation as staging area for this species.
CHORDATA/AVES	<i>Numenius phaeopus</i> 	Whimbrel	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				LC 	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Criterion 3 & 4: The site is nationally worthy of preservation as staging area for this species.
CHORDATA/AVES	<i>Philomachus pugnax</i> 	Ruff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	National red list status: EN	Criterion 3 & 4: The site is nationally worthy of preservation as staging area for this species.
CHORDATA/AVES	<i>Sterna paradisaea</i> 	Arctic Tern	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				LC 	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Criterion 3 & 4: The site is nationally worthy of preservation as breeding and staging area for this species.
CHORDATA/AVES	<i>Vanellus vanellus</i> 	Northern Lapwing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>				NT 	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	National red list: Considered as EN	Criterion 3 & 4: The site is nationally worthy of preservation as staging area for this species.
Fish, Mollusc and Crustacea																		
CHORDATA/ACTINOPTERYGII	<i>Salmo salar</i> 	Silver salmon	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Criterion 8: Important river stocks of this species migrate through the site.
CHORDATA/ACTINOPTERYGII	<i>Salmo trutta</i> 	Herling	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				LC 	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Criterion 8: Important river stocks of this species migrate through the site.
CHORDATA/ACTINOPTERYGII	<i>Salvelinus alpinus</i> 	Arctic Char	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				LC 	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Criterion 8: Important river stocks of this species migrate through the site.

1) Percentage of the total biogeographic population at the site

3.4 - Ecological communities whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

Name of ecological community	Community qualifies under Criterion 2?	Description	Justification
Tidal meadows	<input type="checkbox"/>	Species rich tidal meadow vegetation	Important for birdlife, and for the biodiversity in the region.
Active marine delta	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Largely intact marine delta With extensive mud- and sandflats.	Listed as VU in the Norwegian red list for ecosystems and habitat types.

Optional text box to provide further information

Active marine delta: This red-listed nature type is of high importance to the bird life in the area, as they support large quantities of different molluscs that are an important Food Source for resting birds.

Tidal meadows: the Slender-leaved Pondweed *Potamogeton filiformis* – puddle, *Triglochin* shore and *Elocharis quinqueflora* (LC) Meadows are all of importance to the birdlife as feeding and breeding area, and even though it is not a red-listed nature type, it is of great importance to the bird life. It is a nature type in decline nationally.

4 - What is the Site like? (Ecological character description)

4.1 - Ecological character

Large, intact river delta. Important staging area for birds in both spring and autumn. Feeding area for ducks and wading birds. The watercourse hosts important population of anadromous fish.
Great seashore meadows and dry heather heaths covered by birch and pine. The seashore meadows are species rich and show a great variation and division in vegetation types. Nearly all the nature types typical for the region are represented. This is the only seashore meadow in the region that exhibits subarctic features. Northern and southern plant communities coexist in this site.

4.2 - What wetland type(s) are in the site?

Marine or coastal wetlands

Wetland types (code and name)	Local name	Ranking of extent (1: greatest - 4: least)	Area (ha) of wetland type	Justification of Criterion 1
A: Permanent shallow marine waters		1		Representative
B: Marine subtidal aquatic beds (Underwater vegetation)				
E: Sand, shingle or pebble shores		4		
F: Estuarine waters		2		Representative
G: Intertidal mud, sand or salt flats		3		
H: Intertidal marshes				
J: Coastal brackish / saline lagoons				

4.3 - Biological components

4.3.1 - Plant species

Other noteworthy plant species

Scientific name	Common name	Position in range / endemism / other
<i>Eleocharis quinqueflora</i>		

4.3.2 - Animal species

Other noteworthy animal species

Phylum	Scientific name	Common name	Pop. size	Period of pop. est.	%occurrence	Position in range / endemism/other
CHORDATA/AVES	<i>Calidris temminckii</i>	Temminck's Stint				
CHORDATA/AVES	<i>Larus canus</i>	Mew Gull				
CHORDATA/AVES	<i>Pandion haliaetus</i>	Western Osprey, Osprey				
CHORDATA/AVES	<i>Stercorarius antarcticus</i>	Brown Skua, Subantarctic Skua				

4.4 - Physical components

4.4.1 - Climate

Climatic region	Subregion
D: Moist Mid-Latitude climate with cold winters	Dfc: Subarctic (Severe winter, no dry season, cool summer)

The climate is northern coastal with relatively warm and short summers and long but relatively mild winters. Annual precipitation is 1000 – 1500 mm.

4.4.2 - Geomorphic setting

a) Minimum elevation above sea level (in metres)

a) Maximum elevation above sea level (in metres)

Entire river basin

Upper part of river basin

Middle part of river basin

Lower part of river basin

More than one river basin

Not in river basin

Coastal

Please name the river basin or basins. If the site lies in a sub-basin, please also name the larger river basin. For a coastal/marine site, please name the sea or ocean.

The large river Reisaselva has its outlet within the site. Norwegian Sea

4.4.3 - Soil

Mineral

(Update) Changes at RIS update No change Increase Decrease Unknown

No available information

Are soil types subject to change as a result of changing hydrological conditions (e.g., increased salinity or acidification)? Yes No

Please provide further information on the soil (optional)

These deposits are dominated by sand. Outside the sandy delta there is large area with mud tide.

4.4.4 - Water regime

Water permanence

Presence?	Changes at RIS update
Usually permanent water present	

Source of water that maintains character of the site

Presence?	Predominant water source	Changes at RIS update
Water inputs from surface water	<input type="checkbox"/>	No change
Marine water	<input type="checkbox"/>	No change

Water destination

Presence?	Changes at RIS update
Marine	No change

Stability of water regime

Presence?	Changes at RIS update
Water levels fluctuating (including tidal)	No change

Please add any comments on the water regime and its determinants (if relevant). Use this box to explain sites with complex hydrology.

Parts of the delta are drained during the ebb tide.

4.4.5 - Sediment regime

Significant erosion of sediments occurs on the site

(Update) Changes at RIS update No change Increase Decrease Unknown

Significant accretion or deposition of sediments occurs on the site

(Update) Changes at RIS update No change Increase Decrease Unknown

Sediment regime unknown

Please provide further information on sediment (optional):

The valley typically is covered by river deposits but some marine sediments and glacial originated deposits occur. This is a classic delta with active eroding/depositing proceeding.

4.4.6 - Water pH

Unknown

4.4.7 - Water salinity

Unknown

4.4.8 - Dissolved or suspended nutrients in water

Unknown

4.4.9 - Features of the surrounding area which may affect the Site

Please describe whether, and if so how, the landscape and ecological characteristics in the area surrounding the Ramsar Site differ from the i) broadly similar ii) significantly different site itself:

Surrounding area has greater urbanisation or development

Surrounding area has higher human population density

Surrounding area has more intensive agricultural use

Surrounding area has significantly different land cover or habitat types

Please describe other ways in which the surrounding area is different:

The catchment includes all kinds of human activity as built up area, agriculture, forestry and grazing by sheep and cattle. Keeping reindeer. Airport activity. Outdoor recreation, sports fishing and hunting. Roads for common regional traffic.

4.5 - Ecosystem services

4.5.1 - Ecosystem services/benefits

Provisioning Services

Ecosystem service	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance
Food for humans	Sustenance for humans (e.g., fish, molluscs, grains)	Medium

Regulating Services

Ecosystem service	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance
Erosion protection	Soil, sediment and nutrient retention	Medium

Cultural Services

Ecosystem service	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance
Recreation and tourism	Recreational hunting and fishing	High
Recreation and tourism	Picnics, outings, touring	Medium
Recreation and tourism	Water sports and activities	Medium

Other ecosystem service(s) not included above:

This is a classic delta with active eroding/depositing proceeding.

Important stocks of anadromous fish migrate through the site and the river Reisa is important for angling.

Outdoor recreation, berry picking

The low headland on the east side, called Kvænnes, is an important traditional recreational area for the local community. Part of the area have marked hiking paths to avoid wear and tear on the vegetation in the nature reserve, and have a universal design.

Have studies or assessments been made of the economic valuation of ecosystem services provided by this Ramsar Site? Yes No Unknown

4.5.2 - Social and cultural values

i) the site provides a model of wetland wise use, demonstrating the application of traditional knowledge and methods of management and use that maintain the ecological character of the wetland

ii) the site has exceptional cultural traditions or records of former civilizations that have influenced the ecological character of the wetland

iii) the ecological character of the wetland depends on its interaction with local communities or indigenous peoples

iv) relevant non-material values such as sacred sites are present and their existence is strongly linked with the maintenance of the ecological character of the wetland

<no data available>

4.6 - Ecological processes

<no data available>

5 - How is the Site managed? (Conservation and management)

5.1 - Land tenure and responsibilities (Managers)

5.1.1 - Land tenure/ownership

Private ownership

Category	Within the Ramsar Site	In the surrounding area
Other types of private/individual owner(s)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Provide further information on the land tenure / ownership regime (optional):

within the Ramsar site: Private

in the surrounding area: Private

5.1.2 - Management authority

Please list the local office / offices of any agency or organization responsible for managing the site:

County Governor of Troms

Postal address:

Boks 6105, N-9291 Tromsø, Norway

E-mail address:

postmottak@fmtr.no

5.2 - Ecological character threats and responses (Management)

5.2.1 - Factors (actual or likely) adversely affecting the Site's ecological character

Human settlements (non agricultural)

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Housing and urban areas			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Agriculture and aquaculture

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Annual and perennial non-timber crops	Medium impact	Medium impact	<input type="checkbox"/>	No change	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No change

Transportation and service corridors

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Aircraft flight paths	Medium impact	Medium impact	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No change	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No change

Biological resource use

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Unspecified			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Human intrusions and disturbance

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Unspecified/others	Low impact	Medium impact	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No change	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No change

Pollution

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Garbage and solid waste	Medium impact	Medium impact	<input type="checkbox"/>	No change	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No change
Agricultural and forestry effluents	Medium impact	Medium impact	<input type="checkbox"/>	No change	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No change
Unspecified	Medium impact	Medium impact	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No change	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No change
Excess heat, sound, light	Medium impact	Medium impact	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No change	<input type="checkbox"/>	No change
Household sewage, urban waste water	Medium impact	Medium impact	<input type="checkbox"/>	No change	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No change

Please describe any other threats (optional):

Within the Ramsar site: Sørkjosen Airport is situated close to this Ramsar site and may affect the site both with noise and runoff.

In the surrounding area: In the catchment area there is potential runoff from airport, garbage dumping and local sewer. Some enhanced values of nutrients occur due to run off from agriculture and built up surrounding area.

The area is locally popular as a recreational area and this could potentially mean wear and tear from hiking and horseback riding.

5.2.2 - Legal conservation status

National legal designations

Designation type	Name of area	Online information url	Overlap with Ramsar Site
Nature Reserve	Reisauføpet		whole

5.2.3 - IUCN protected areas categories (2008)

- Ia Strict Nature Reserve
- Ib Wilderness Area: protected area managed mainly for wilderness protection
- II National Park: protected area managed mainly for ecosystem protection and recreation
- III Natural Monument: protected area managed mainly for conservation of specific natural features
- IV Habitat/Species Management Area: protected area managed mainly for conservation through management intervention
- V Protected Landscape/Seascape: protected area managed mainly for landscape/seascape conservation and recreation
- VI Managed Resource Protected Area: protected area managed mainly for the sustainable use of natural ecosystems

5.2.4 - Key conservation measures

Legal protection

Measures	Status
Legal protection	Implemented

Other:

The site is identified as one of the protected areas where it is necessary to get a management plan.

5.2.5 - Management planning

Is there a site-specific management plan for the site? No

Has a management effectiveness assessment been undertaken for the site? Yes No

If the site is a formal transboundary site as indicated in section Data and location > Site location, are there shared management planning processes with another Contracting Party? Yes No

Please indicate if a Ramsar centre, other educational or visitor facility, or an educational or visitor programme is associated with the site:

Information posters have been put up in the area.

5.2.6 - Planning for restoration

Is there a site-specific restoration plan? Please select a value

5.2.7 - Monitoring implemented or proposed

None

6 - Additional material

6.1 - Additional reports and documents

6.1.1 - Bibliographical references

Fjelland, M. Elven, R. & Johansen, V. 1983. Havstrand i Troms, botaniske verneverdier. Institutt for biologi og geologi, UiTø. Rapport T-551: s 148-149.

Fylkesmannen i Troms, 2013. Reisaautløpet nasjonalpark, utkast til forvaltningsplan 2013 - Management plan draft.

Fylkesmannen i Troms, 1989. Utkast til verneplan for våtmarksområder i Troms fylke.

Hindrum, R. 1982. Fugle- og pattedyrfaunaen i Reisaavassdraget, Troms og Finnmark, Nord-Norge. Troms Naturvitenskap nr 37: 64 pp.

Henriksen S. og Hilmo O. (red.) 2015. Norsk rødliste for arter 2015 - 2015 Norwegian Red List. Artsdatabanken, Norway

Moen, A. 1998. National Atlas of Norway: Vegetation. Norwegian Mapping Authority, Hønefoss
Wartena, E.M.M. 1998. Vannkvalitet i vassdrag i Troms. Akvaplan-niva, rapport nr APN512.814.1: 56 pp.

6.1.2 - Additional reports and documents

i. taxonomic lists of plant and animal species occurring in the site (see section 4.3)

<no file available>

ii. a detailed Ecological Character Description (ECD) (in a national format)

<no file available>

iii. a description of the site in a national or regional wetland inventory

<no file available>

iv. relevant Article 3.2 reports

<no file available>

v. site management plan

<no file available>

vi. other published literature

<no file available>

<no data available>

6.1.3 - Photograph(s) of the Site

Please provide at least one photograph of the site:



Reisaautløpet (Gunnar Kjørstad, 03-06-2017)



Reisaautløpet (Gunnar Kjørstad, 03-06-2017)



The Beach at North-East of Kippemes (County Governor of Troms, 05-07-2007)

6.1.4 - Designation letter and related data

Designation letter

<1 file(s) uploaded>

Date of Designation